

## HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL - 50th SESSION

### ACTION ON DRAFT RESOLUTIONS

(13 June –8 July 2022)

#### Contents



Item 1 – Organizational and procedural matters .....	2
Item 2 – Annual report of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights and reports of the Office of the High Commissioner and the Secretary-General.....	3
Item 3 – Promotion and protection of all human rights, civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights, including the right to development .....	5
Item 4 – Human rights situations that require the Council’s attention .....	23
Item 5 – Human rights bodies and mechanisms .....	26
Item 6 – Universal Periodic Review .....	27
Item 10 – Technical assistance and capacity-building .....	27
<b>General Comments by Observers on the Decisions Adopted during the Session .....</b>	<b>28</b>

*Photo Credits: A general view of participants attends 50th session of Human Rights Council. 13 June 2022. UN Photo / Jean Marc Ferré (Flickr/UN Geneva)*

#### Abbreviations:

**DDPA:** Durban Declaration and Programme of Action

**High Commissioner:** United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

**HRC, Council:** Human Rights Council

**IHL:** International Humanitarian Law

**GA, Assembly:** General Assembly

**NHRIs:** National Human Rights Institutions

**NGOs:** Non-governmental organizations

**OHCHR, the Office:** United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights

**OIC:** Organization of Islamic Cooperation

**PBIs:** Project Budget Implications

**UN:** United Nations

Draft Resolution	Main Sponsors	Decisions and Expected follow-up by the UN <i>(not exhaustive)</i>	Action on Draft Resolution
Item 1 – Organizational and procedural matters			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.62</a> Situation of human rights of <b>women and girls in Afghanistan</b> (as orally revised)</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> France (on behalf of the EU) <i>Co-sponsors</i><sup>1</sup>: Afghanistan,*<sup>2</sup> Albania,* Andorra,* Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bosnia and Herzegovina,* Bulgaria,* Canada,* Colombia,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic,* Denmark,* Estonia,* Finland, France, Germany, Greece,* Hungary,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Israel,* Italy,* Japan, Latvia,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,* Monaco,* Montenegro, Netherlands, New Zealand,* North Macedonia,* Norway,* Poland, Portugal,* Republic of Moldova,* Romania,* San Marino,* Serbia,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Spain,* Sweden,* Türkiye,* United Kingdom, United States of America (United States)</p>	<p>Condemns in the strongest possible terms all human rights violations and abuses committed against all individuals, including women and girls, in Afghanistan, including all forms of discrimination and violence, including sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV); [...]; Calls for the <b>reinstatement of the Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission</b> or the establishment of a similar independent institution in compliance with the principles relating to the status of national institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights (the Paris Principles) and the reinstatement of the Ministry of Women’s Affairs; Calls upon all relevant actors in Afghanistan <b>to cooperate fully with the special procedures</b> of the HRC and the treaty bodies, and other international bodies seized with the situation in Afghanistan and to grant them <b>unhindered access</b> to the country without delay, and to provide them with all necessary information and support; Requests the OHCHR to organize <b>an enhanced interactive dialogue</b> during its <b>51<sup>st</sup> session</b>, with the participation of the Special Rapporteur (SR) on the situation of human rights in Afghanistan, other relevant human rights mechanisms, UN bodies and agencies, including the UNAMA, and NGOs, including Afghan women’s rights organizations in Afghanistan and the diaspora; Decides to remain seized of the matter.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU) <i>10 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments on the draft text as orally revised:</b> United Kingdom, United States, Ukraine <b>Country Concerned:</b> Afghanistan* <b>Programme Budget Implications (PBIs):</b> Yes (16,500 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Pakistan, China (<i>dissociates itself from consensus</i>) <b>Adopted without a vote.</b> <i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (23min54sec)</i></p>

<sup>1</sup> Here and later, the Co-sponsors are noted as listed in the draft text

<sup>2</sup> Here and later marked by an asterisk (\*) the State that is not a member of the Human Rights Council

Item 2 – Annual report of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights and reports of the Office of the High Commissioner and the Secretary-General			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.14/Rev.1</a> Reporting by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights on the situation in the <b>Sudan</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> United Kingdom, Germany, Norway, * United States <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania, * Australia, * Austria, * Belgium, * Bosnia and Herzegovina, * Bulgaria, * Canada, * Croatia, * Cyprus, * Czech Republic, Denmark, * Dominican Republic, * Estonia, * Finland*, France, Germany, Greece, * Hungary, * Iceland, * Ireland, * Italy, * Latvia, * Liechtenstein, * Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, * Monaco, * Montenegro, Netherlands, New Zealand, * North Macedonia, * Norway, * Poland, Portugal, * Republic of Korea, Romania, * Slovakia, * Slovenia, * Spain, * Sweden, * Switzerland, * Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</p>	<p>Requests the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, with the assistance of the designated Expert on human rights in the Sudan, to present to the HRC, at its <b>52<sup>nd</sup> session</b>, an oral update on the situation of human rights in the Sudan, to be followed by an <b>interactive dialogue</b> with the participation of the High Commissioner and the designated Expert, and at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b>, a <b>comprehensive report</b> on the situation of human rights in the Sudan, to be followed by an <b>interactive dialogue</b> with the participation of the High Commissioner and the designated Expert; Also requests the High Commissioner, upon the restoration of civilian-led government in the Sudan and the conclusion of the mandate of the designated Expert, to present to the HRC at its <b>first subsequent session a final report</b>, with input from the designated Expert; Requests the Secretary-General to make all necessary financial, technical and logistical support available to the OHCHR in this regard; Decides to remain seized of the matter.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> United Kingdom (<i>on behalf of the Core Group</i>) <i>2 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Finland <b>Concerned Country:</b> Sudan <b>Programme Budget Implications (PBIs):</b> Yes (1,162,000 USD) <b>Explanations of votes before the vote:</b> None <b>Adopted without a vote.</b>  <i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (19min36sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.19</a> Situation of human rights in <b>Eritrea</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> France (<i>on behalf of the European Union</i>) <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania, * Australia, * Austria, * Belgium, * Bulgaria, * Costa Rica, * Croatia, * Cyprus, * Czech Republic, Denmark, * Estonia, * Finland, France, Germany, Greece, * Hungary, * Iceland, * Ireland, * Israel, * Italy, * Latvia, * Liechtenstein, * Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, * Monaco, * Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, * Poland, Portugal, * Romania, * Slovakia, * Slovenia, * Spain, * Sweden, * Switzerland, *</p>	<p>Decides to <b>extend the mandate of the Special Rapporteur</b> on the situation of human rights in Eritrea for a further period of <b>one year</b>; Requests the Special Rapporteur (SR) to submit and present a <b>written report</b> to the HRC at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b>, and to the General Assembly (GA) at its <b>77<sup>th</sup> session</b>; Decides to hold an <b>enhanced interactive dialogue</b> on the situation of human rights in Eritrea at its <b>52<sup>nd</sup> session</b>, with the participation of, inter alia, the Special Rapporteur, the OHCHR, civil society and other relevant stakeholders; [...]; Encourages the Government of Eritrea to implement the recommendations accepted by the State during the third cycle of the UPR;</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>) <i>6 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Somalia (<u>called for a vote</u>), Ukraine <b>Concerned Country:</b> Eritrea <b>Programme Budget Implications (PBIs):</b> Yes (465,000 USD) <b>Explanations of votes before the vote:</b> China, Venezuela, Brazil <b>Adopted with 21 votes in favor:</b> <b>For:</b> 21 Argentina, Armenia, Brazil, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Honduras, Japan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Marshall Islands,</p>

	<p><i>Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</i></p>	<p>Requests the Secretary-General to provide the SR with all the information and resources necessary to fulfil the mandate; Decides to remain seized of the matter.</p>	<p>Mexico, Montenegro, Netherlands, Paraguay, Poland, Republic of Korea, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States <b>Against: 10</b> Bolivia, China, Cuba, Eritrea, India, Pakistan, Somalia, Sudan, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela <b>Abstained: 16</b> Benin, Cameroon, Côte d’Ivoire, Gabon, Gambia, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Libya, Malawi, Malaysia, Mauritania, Namibia, Nepal, Qatar, Senegal, Uzbekistan</p> <p><b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">21-13-13</a><sup>3</sup> (HRC47)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (17min24sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.21</a> Situation of human rights of <b>Rohingya Muslims</b> and other <b>minorities in Myanmar</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC))</i> <i>Co-sponsors: Andorra,* Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p>Requests the High Commissioner (HC) to monitor and follow up on the implementation of the recommendations made by the independent international fact-finding mission (IIFF), including those on accountability, and to continue to track progress in the situation of human rights in Myanmar, including of Rohingya Muslims and other minorities, with the support of specialist experts and in complementarity to the work of the Independent Mechanism for Myanmar and the reports of the SR, and to present an <b>oral update</b> to the HRC at its <b>55<sup>th</sup> session</b> and a written report at its <b>56<sup>th</sup> session</b>, each to be followed by an interactive dialogue, and a written report to the General Assembly at its <b>78<sup>th</sup> session</b>; Decides to hold at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b> a <b>panel discussion</b> on the measures necessary to find durable solutions to the Rohingya crisis and to end all forms of human rights violations and abuses against Rohingya Muslims and other minorities in Myanmar, and requests the HC to submit a</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> <i>Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i> <i>3 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Gambia, Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i><sup>4</sup>) <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (522,700 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> China (<i>will not join consensus</i>), United Kingdom, United States <b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC47)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (14min00sec)</i></p>

<sup>3</sup> Here and later, vote results are represented as (YES – NO – ABSTENTION)

<sup>4</sup> Here and later, “on behalf of the EU member states that are members of the UN Human Rights Council. The statement has been agreed by the EU as a whole”

		<p>report on the panel discussion to the HRC at its <b>55<sup>th</sup> session</b>;</p> <p>Calls upon relevant UN bodies to continue to make concrete recommendations for action to resolve the humanitarian crisis, promoting the safe, dignified, voluntary and sustainable return of Rohingya refugees and forcibly displaced persons and ensuring accountability for those responsible for mass atrocities and human rights violations and abuses in this regard;</p> <p>Decides to remain seized of the matter on the basis of, inter alia, the reports of relevant UN mechanisms.</p>	
<p><b>Explanations of vote and General comments after the vote (Item 2)</b></p> <p>- <b>Indonesia:</b> on L.19</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (02min54sec)</i></p>			

<p>Item 3 – Promotion and protection of all human rights, civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights, including the right to development</p>			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.1</a> Enhancement of <b>international cooperation</b> in the field of human rights</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Azerbaijan* (on behalf of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries (NAM)) <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Azerbaijan (on behalf of the NAM),* Paraguay, State of Palestine*</p>	<p>Recalls that, in its resolution 76/164 of 16 December 2021, the GA requested the HRC to consider further proposals for the strengthening of UN action in the field of human rights through the promotion of international cooperation and the importance of the principles of non-selectivity, impartiality and objectivity, including in the context of the universal periodic review;</p> <p>Also recalls that, in its resolution 76/159, the GA requested the Secretary-General, in collaboration with the HC, to consult States and intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations on ways and means, as well as on obstacles and challenges and possible proposals to overcome them, for the enhancement of international cooperation and genuine dialogue in the UN human rights machinery, including the HRC;</p> <p>Decides to continue its consideration of the matter at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b>, in accordance with its annual programme of work.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Azerbaijan* (on behalf of the NAM) <i>1 additional co-sponsor</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Venezuela, Gambia <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (73,800 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU) (regrets the biased language on UCMs, concepts such as “new economic world order”, language on terrorism imbalanced) (<u>called for a vote</u>), Armenia (disassociates from PP9 and PP14), China, Argentina, Mexico (paragraphs on fighting against terrorism, does not join consensus), United States (controversial language including in PP9, opposed reference to UCMs, language concerning technology transfer) (<u>called for a vote</u>) <b>Adopted with 29 votes in favor:</b></p>

			<p><b>For:</b> 29 Argentina, Benin, Bolivia, Cameroon, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Eritrea, Gabon, Gambia, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Libya, Malawi, Malaysia, Mauritania, Namibia, Nepal, Pakistan, Paraguay, Qatar, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan, United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan, Venezuela</p> <p><b>Against:</b> 16 Armenia, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Japan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Marshall Islands, Montenegro, Netherlands, Poland, Republic of Korea, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</p> <p><b>Abstained:</b> 2 Brazil, Mexico</p> <p><b>Periodicity:</b> Annual</p> <p><b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">30-14-3</a> (HRC47)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (21min42sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.2</a> Mandate of the Independent Expert on protection against violence and discrimination based on <b>sexual orientation and gender identity</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Uruguay,* Argentina, Brazil, Chile,* Colombia,* Costa Rica,* Mexico</p> <p><i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Andorra,* Argentina,* Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Brazil, Bulgaria,* Canada,* Chile,* Colombia,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic, Denmark,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Fiji,* Finland, France, Georgia,* Germany, Greece,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Israel,* Italy,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,* Mexico, Montenegro, Netherlands,</p>	<p>Decides to <b>extend the mandate of Independent Expert</b> on protection against violence and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity for a period of <b>three years</b> to enable the mandate holder to continue to work in accordance with the mandate established by the HRC in its resolution 32/2;</p> <p>Requests the Secretary-General and the High Commissioner to continue to provide the Independent Expert (IE) with all the human, technical and financial resources necessary for the continuation of the effective fulfilment of the mandate;</p> <p>Calls upon all Governments to cooperate with and assist the IE by supplying all necessary information requested by the mandate holder, and responding favourably to the requests of the IE to visit their countries to fulfil the mandate more effectively;</p> <p>Requests the IE to continue to report annually on the implementation of the mandate to the HRC and the GA in accordance with their respective programmes of work.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Uruguay*, Chile* <i>9 additional co-sponsors</i></p> <p><b>General Comments:</b> Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>), United Arab Emirates, Luxembourg, United Kingdom, Netherlands, Indonesia, Malaysia, Mauritania, United States, Libya</p> <p><b>PBIs:</b> Yes (activities considered to be of a perennial nature; no additional resources required)</p> <p><b>Explanation of vote before the vote as amended:</b> Argentina (<i>on behalf of the main sponsors</i>), Qatar, Senegal, Paraguay, Pakistan (<i>on behalf of the OIC<sup>5</sup>, called for a vote</i>), Eritrea, France</p> <p><b>Adopted in its amended version with 23 votes in favor:</b></p> <p><b>For:</b> 23 Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Cuba, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Honduras, Japan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Marshall Islands,</p>

<sup>5</sup> Except Albania

	<p><i>New Zealand,* North Macedonia,* Norway,* Peru,* Portugal,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* South Africa,* Spain,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay*</i></p>		<p>Mexico, Montenegro, Nepal, Netherlands, Paraguay, Republic of Korea, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States, Venezuela</p> <p><b>Against: 17</b> Cameroon, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Eritrea, Gabon, Gambia, Indonesia, Libya, Malawi, Malaysia, Mauritania, Pakistan, Qatar, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan, United Arab Emirates</p> <p><b>Abstained: 7</b> Armenia, Benin, India, Kazakhstan, Namibia, Poland, Uzbekistan</p>
			<p><b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">27-12-7</a> (HRC41)</p>
<p>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (02h33min0sec)</p>			
<p>Written Amendments to <a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.2</a></p>			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.25</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC<sup>6</sup>)</i></p>	<p><i>Replace “sexual orientation or/and gender identity” with “race, colour, sex language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Bangladesh*</p> <p><b>Mexico:</b> the main sponsors reject the proposed amendments and call for a vote on each of them.</p> <p><b>L.25:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Argentina (on behalf of the core sponsors, rejected in the strongest terms), Czech Republic.</p> <p><b>Rejected: 23 against, 19 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.26</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>PP3 should read: “Recalling also all GA and HRC resolutions relevant to protection against violence and discrimination on any basis, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Bangladesh*</p> <p><b>L.26:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Brazil (on behalf of the core sponsors).</p> <p><b>Rejected: 22 against, 19 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.27</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Stressing the need to maintain joint ownership of the international human rights agenda and to consider human rights issues in an objective and non-confrontational manner”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Bangladesh*</p> <p><b>L.27:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico (on behalf of the core sponsors), United States. <b>Rejected: 21 against, 20 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.28</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Undertaking to support the broad and balanced agenda of the HRC, and to strengthen the mechanisms addressing issues of importance, including fighting racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance in all their forms”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Saudi Arabia*</p> <p><b>L.28<sup>7</sup>:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Argentina (on behalf of the core sponsors), Marshall Islands. <b>Rejected: 20 against, 20 in favor, 4 abstentions.</b></p>

<sup>6</sup> All amendments (L.25-L.37) submitted by Pakistan on behalf of the OIC, except Albania.

<sup>7</sup> Voting table not uploaded by 12 July 2022.

<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.29</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Deploing the use of external pressure and coercive measures against States, particularly developing countries, including through the use and threat of use of economic sanctions and/or application of conditionality to official development assistance with the aim of influencing the relevant domestic debates and decision-making processes at the national level”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Qatar <a href="#">L.29</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico (on behalf of the core sponsors), Finland.</i> <b>Rejected: 21 against, 21 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.30</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Expressing concern over attempts to undermine the international human rights system by seeking to impose concepts or notions pertaining to social matters, including private individual conduct, that fall outside the internationally agreed human rights legal framework, taking into account that such attempts constitute an expression of disregard for the universality of human rights”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Saudi Arabia* <a href="#">L.30</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Argentina (on behalf of the core sponsors), Germany.</i> <b>Rejected: 22 against, 19 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.31</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Reaffirming the sovereign right of each State to develop its national laws, in accordance with its international human rights obligations”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Qatar <a href="#">L.31</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico (on behalf of the core sponsors), United Kingdom.</i> <b>Adopted: 19 against, 22 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.32</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Reiterating the importance of respecting social, cultural and religious value systems as well as particularities when considering human rights issues”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Nigeria* <a href="#">L.32</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Argentina (on behalf of the core sponsors), United States.</i> <b>Rejected: 21 against, 19 in favor, 4 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.33</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new PP reading: “Stressing the need to avoid derogatory stereotyping, negative profiling and the stigmatization of religions and persons based on their religion or belief”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Nigeria* <a href="#">L.33</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico (on behalf of the main sponsors), Lithuania.</i> <b>Rejected: 20 against, 20 in favor, 4 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.34</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>OP2 should read: “Deplores acts of violence and discrimination, in all regions of the world, committed against individuals on the basis of their race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Mauritania <a href="#">L.34</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Brazil (on behalf of the main sponsors), Luxembourg, Bolivia.</i> <b>Rejected: 23 against, 19 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.35</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>insert a new OP reading: “Requests the High Commissioner to present to the HRC at its 53<sup>rd</sup> session a report on the protection of all individuals against violence and discrimination based on their race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status, with a focus on major challenges and best practices in this regard;” Delete OPs 4, 5, 6, 7.</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Mauritania <i>PBI: With a budget impact of 73,800 USD</i> <a href="#">L.35</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Brazil (on behalf of the main sponsors).</i> <b>Rejected: 23 against, 19 in favor, 3 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.36</a> <i>Submitted by: Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</i></p>	<p><i>Insert a new OP reading: “Reaffirms that international human rights law does not require States to recognize same-sex marriage and that societies have the right to determine their own social systems”</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Egypt* <a href="#">L.36</a>: <i>Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico (on behalf of the main sponsors), Netherlands.</i> <b>Rejected: 20 against, 19 in favor, 6 abstentions.</b></p>



<p><b><u>A/HRC/50/L.37</u></b> (as orally revised) <b>Submitted by:</b> Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC)</p>	<p>Insert a new OP reading: "Reaffirms that, in accordance with article 16 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, men and women of full age have the right to marry, and that States have the sovereign right to regulate marriage laws in accordance with their international human rights obligations"</p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Egypt* <b>L.37:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote (as revised orally): Argentina (on behalf of the main sponsors), Montenegro. <b>Rejected: 21 against, 19 in favor, 4 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><b><u>A/HRC/50/L.3</u></b> Independence and impartiality of the <b>judiciary, jurors and assessors</b>, and the <b>independence of lawyers</b> – Participation of <b>women</b> in the <b>administration of justice</b> (as orally revised)</p>	<p><b>Submitted by:</b> Hungary,* Australia*, Botswana*, Maldives*, Mexico and Thailand* <b>Co-sponsors:</b> Albania,* Andorra,* Argentina, Armenia, Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Botswana,* Bulgaria,* Canada,* Chile,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czechia, Denmark,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Finland, Germany, Greece,* Hungary,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Israel,* Italy,* Latvia,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Maldives,* Malta,* Marshall Islands, Mexico, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway,* Paraguay, Peru,* Portugal,* Republic of Moldova,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Sweden,* Thailand,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay*</p>	<p>Invites the Special Rapporteur (SR) to collaborate with relevant stakeholders within the UN system in the areas pertaining to the mandate; Calls upon Governments to give serious consideration to responding favourably to the requests of SR to visit their country, and urges States to enter into a constructive dialogue [...] with respect to the follow-up to and implementation of his or her recommendations [...]; Encourages the SR to facilitate the provision of technical assistance and capacity-building [...], including through engagement with relevant stakeholders and in consultation with the OHCHR, when requested by the State concerned, with a view to establishing and strengthening the rule of law, paying particular attention to the administration of justice and the role of an independent and competent judiciary and legal profession; Encourages Governments [...], to consult and to consider the services of the SR, for instance, by inviting the mandate holder to visit their country; Encourages Governments to give due consideration to recommendations made by UN human rights mechanisms, and to implement recommendations supported under the UPR process, addressing the independence and effectiveness of the judiciary and their effective implementation, and invites the international community, regional organizations and the UN system to support any implementation efforts; Invites UN agencies, funds and programmes to continue their activities in the areas of the administration of justice and the rule of law, [...], encourages States to reflect such activities in their national capacity-building plans, [...]</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Thailand*, Hungary*</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Oral Revisions:</b> in PP17 adding "reflecting international human rights standards", deleting "adopting a human rights-based approach"; in OP3 replacing "achieving parity" with "achieving gender balance".</p> </div> <p>14 additional co-sponsors <b>General Comments on the draft as orally revised:</b> Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU), India, Lithuania <b>PBIs:</b> None <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> None <b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC44)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (09min48sec)</i></p>

		<p>institutions concerned with the administration of justice should be properly funded;</p> <p>Encourages States to ensure that their legal frameworks, implementing regulations and judicial manuals are fully in line with their international obligations and take into account relevant commitments in the areas of the administration of justice and the rule of law;</p> <p>Decides to continue consideration of this issue in accordance with its annual programme of work.</p>	
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.4</a></p> <p>Mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of <b>internally displaced persons</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Austria*, Honduras, Uganda*</p> <p><i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Argentina, Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bulgaria,* Canada,* Cyprus,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Czech Republic, Denmark,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Fiji,* Finland, France, Germany, Georgia,* Greece,* Honduras, Hungary,* Marshall Islands, Ireland,* Iceland,* Italy,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, North Macedonia,* Malawi, Malta,* Mexico, Monaco,* Montenegro, Norway,* Uganda,* Paraguay, Netherlands, Peru,* Poland, Portugal,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Tunisia,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay*</p>	<p>Décide de proroger pour une période de <b>trois ans</b> le mandat de Rapporteur spécial sur les droits humains des personnes déplacées dans leur propre pays, [...].</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Austria* (<i>on behalf of the core group</i>) 10 additional co-sponsors</p> <p><b>General Comments:</b> Armenia, Ukraine</p> <p><b>PBIs:</b> Yes (activities considered to be of a perennial nature; no additional resources required)</p> <p><b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Senegal (<i>supports consensus but disassociates from several paragraphs due to use of ambiguous language on including the concept of diversity and references to sexual and reproductive rights/health services, including PP10, OP15, OP16, OP18</i>)</p> <p><u>Adopted without a vote.</u></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: fit-content;"> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC41)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (12min30sec)</i></p>

<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.6</a> The importance of <b>casualty recording</b> for the promotion and protection of human rights (as orally revised)</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Liechtenstein,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Sierra Leone* <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Andorra,* Argentina,* Armenia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bulgaria,* Chile,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic,* Denmark,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Finland,* France,* Germany,* Greece,* Honduras,* Hungary,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Italy,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania,* Luxembourg,* Malta,* Monaco,* Montenegro,* Netherlands,* North Macedonia,* Paraguay,* Peru,* Poland,* Portugal,* Romania,* Sierra Leone,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Uruguay*</p>	<p>Requests the OHCHR to prepare, in consultation with all States, relevant UN entities, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations and national human rights institutions, a <b>comprehensive report</b> on the impact of casualty recording on the promotion and protection of human rights, including relevant practices, in particular the role of casualty recording in upholding and fulfilling the rights of victims of armed conflict, post-conflict situations, and gross violations of international human rights law with a view to making recommendations, as applicable, to States, mechanisms of the HRC and relevant stakeholders, and to present the report to the Council at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b>, to be followed by an interactive dialogue; [...].</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Liechtenstein* (on behalf of the Cross-regional core group), Croatia*</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Oral Revisions:</b> in PP7; PP9 (removing “civilian (casualties) in armed conflicts, post-conflict environments and casualties resulting from gross violations of international human rights law, as well as acts of terrorism”); PP10; OP1 (including removing “survivors”, “and acts of terrorism”).</p> </div> <p>10 additional co-sponsors <b>General Comments on the draft as orally revised:</b> Armenia, Argentina, Ukraine (expressed willingness to co-sponsor), Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU), Gambia, Germany <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (137,000 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> United States, United Kingdom <b>Adopted without a vote.</b>  <i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (18min48sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.7</a> Mandate of the Special Rapporteur on <b>violence against women and girls</b>, its causes and its consequences</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Canada <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Andorra,* Argentina,* Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bulgaria,* Canada,* Chile,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Fiji,* Finland,* France,* Georgia,* Germany,* Greece,* Honduras,* Hungary,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Israel,* Italy,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania,* Luxembourg,* Malta,* Mexico,</p>	<p>Decides to <b>extend the mandate of the Special Rapporteur</b> for a period of <b>three years</b> under the title of Special Rapporteur on violence against women and girls, its causes and its consequences, on the same terms provided for by the HRC in its resolution 41/17 of 12 July 2019, and to additionally request the SR to examine the specific ways that girls are impacted by violence, taking care to avoid duplication, and to continue to collaborate with relevant UN mechanisms and special procedure mandate holders; [...] Reaffirms its decision to continue its consideration of the issue of the elimination of all forms of violence against women and girls as a matter of high priority, in conformity with its programme of work, at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b>.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Canada</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Amendments:</b> <a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.48</a> (presented by the Russian Federation*). In PP2 “<i>For the outcomes of their review conferences read the outcomes of their review conferences as adopted by the General Assembly</i>” <b>Netherlands:</b> the main sponsors reject the proposed amendment and call for a vote. <b>Voting on Amendment:</b> <a href="#">L.48:</a> Explanation of vote before the vote: United Kingdom. <b>Rejected: 23 against, 9 in favor, 13 abstentions.</b></p> </div> <p>15 additional co-sponsors</p>

	<p>Monaco,* Montenegro, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand,* Norway,* Paraguay, Peru,*Portugal,* Republic of Korea, Republic of Moldova,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Spain,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Türkiye,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay*</p>		<p><b>General Comments:</b> Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>), Paraguay, Lithuania, United States, Republic of Korea, France, Ukraine</p> <p><b>PBIs:</b> Yes (1,215,900 USD)</p> <p><b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Senegal (<i>distances itself from PP2</i>)</p> <p><b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC41)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (32min00sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.9</a> Human rights and <b>international solidarity</b></p>	<p><b>Submitted by:</b> Cuba <b>Co-sponsors:</b> Algeria,* Belarus,* Cuba, DPRK,* Egypt,* Namibia, Nicaragua,* Paraguay, Venezuela, State of Palestine*</p>	<p>Requests the Independent Expert (IE) to <b>continue to participate in relevant international forums and major events</b> with a view to promoting the importance of international solidarity in the realization of the right to development and the achievement of the 2030 Agenda, [...];</p> <p>Also requests the IE to <b>continue to examine</b> in his reports ways and means of overcoming existing and emerging obstacles to the realization of the right of peoples and individuals to international solidarity, including the challenges of international cooperation, [...];</p> <p>Requests the Secretary-General and the HC to provide all the human and financial resources necessary for the effective fulfilment of the mandate of the IE;</p> <p>Reiterates its request to the IE to take into account the outcomes of all major UN and other global summits and ministerial meetings in the economic, social and climate fields, and to continue to seek views and contributions from Governments, UN agencies, other relevant international organizations and NGOs [...];</p> <p>Requests the IE to <b>report regularly</b> to the HRC and to the GA in accordance with their respective programmes of work;</p> <p>Decides to continue its consideration of this matter under the same agenda item.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Cuba <i>8 additional co-sponsors</i></p> <p><b>General Comments:</b> China</p> <p><b>PBIs:</b> None</p> <p><b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> United Kingdom (<i>called for a vote</i>), United States, Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>) (<i>concept of the right to international solidarity as foundational principle of international law not acceptable</i>), Mexico (<i>reservations on use of digital divide concept, language regarding international solidarity as fundamental principle of international law</i>), Bolivia, Gambia</p> <p><b>Adopted with 31 votes in favor:</b></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <p><b>For: 31</b> Argentina, Armenia, Benin, Bolivia, Brazil, Cameroon, China, Côte d’Ivoire, Cuba, Eritrea, Gabon, Gambia, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Libya, Malawi, Malaysia, Mauritania, Namibia, Nepal, Pakistan, Paraguay, Qatar, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan, United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan, Venezuela</p> <p><b>Against: 15</b> Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Japan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Marshall Islands, Montenegro, Netherlands, Poland, Republic of Korea, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</p> <p><b>Abstained: 1</b> Mexico</p> </div>

			<p><b>Periodicity:</b> Annual <b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">32-14-1</a> (HRC47)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (19min30sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.10/Rev.1</a> Human rights and <b>climate change</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Bangladesh,* Philippines,* Viet Nam* <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Armenia, Bangladesh,* Bhutan,* Chile,* Dominican Republic,* Ecuador,* Georgia,* Haiti,* Iraq,* Lebanon,* Malaysia, Mozambique,* Namibia,* Nepal, Pakistan (on behalf of the OIC,* Paraguay, Peru,* Philippines,* Qatar, Saudi Arabia,* Somalia, Sudan, Viet Nam,* Yemen,* State of Palestine*</p>	<p>Decides to incorporate into its programme of work for the <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b> of the HRC, [...], <b>a panel discussion</b> focusing on the adverse impact of climate change on the full realization of the right to food for all people [...], and also decides that the panel discussion will have International Sign interpretation and captioning;</p> <p>Also decides to incorporate into its annual programme of work, beginning in 2023, at a minimum <b>a panel discussion</b>, with sufficient time to discuss different specific themes on the adverse impacts of climate change on human rights, [...], and further decides that the panel discussion will have International Sign interpretation and captioning; [...];</p> <p>Requests the High Commissioner to present <b>a report</b> to the HRC at its <b>55<sup>th</sup> session</b> identifying the necessary measures for minimizing the adverse impact of climate change on the full realization of the right to food, [...], to be followed by <b>an interactive dialogue</b>, and to make the report available in accessible formats, including in plain language and easy-to-read versions;</p> <p>Requests the Secretary-General, in consultation with and taking into account the views of States, the special procedures of the HRC, FAO, WFP, CFS, UNEP, WMO and other relevant international organizations and intergovernmental bodies, including the IPCC and the secretariat of the UNFCCC, and other stakeholders, to submit to the HRC at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session a report</b> on the adverse impact of climate change on the full realization of the right to food, to be followed by an <b>interactive dialogue</b>, [...]; [...].</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Bangladesh* <i>16 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Mexico, Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>), France <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (282,00 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> United Kingdom, United States <b><u>Adopted without a vote.</u></b></p> <p><b>Periodicity:</b> Annual <b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">46-0-1</a> (HRC47)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (17min12sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.12</a></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Ecuador,* Peru*</p>	<p>Requests the High Commissioner to prepare, in consultation with Member States, <b>a report</b> on effective</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Ecuador* <i>10 additional co-sponsors</i></p>

<p>Human rights and the regulation of civilian <b>acquisition, possession and use of firearms</b></p>	<p><i>Co-sponsors:</i> Chile,* Croatia,* Ecuador,* Greece,* Ireland,* Luxembourg, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru,* Portugal,* Switzerland*</p>	<p>national regulations, policies and good practices, challenges and lessons learned to address the negative human rights impact of civilian acquisition, possession and use of firearms and underlying root causes and risk factors driving the availability of firearms and firearms-related violence, including contributions from business enterprises on measures adopted in this regard and their relationship with the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and to present the report to the HRC at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b>; [...].</p>	<p><b>General Comments:</b> Paraguay <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (89,400 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> United States, Bolivia <a href="#">Adopted without a vote.</a></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Periodicity:</b> Biennial <b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC45)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (10min54sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.13/Rev.1</a> <b>Access to medicines, vaccines and other health products</b> in the context of the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Brazil, China, Egypt,* India, Indonesia, Senegal, South Africa,* Thailand* <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Argentina, Brazil, China, Ecuador,* Egypt,* Eswatini,* India, Indonesia, Iraq,* Malaysia, Namibia, Nepal, Nigeria,* Paraguay, Peru,* Senegal, South Africa,* Thailand,* Türkiye,* Uruguay,* Yemen*</p>	<p>Requests the OHCHR to enhance its work, within its mandate, including with support for dedicated capacity to continue to carry out research, to organize <b>three expert workshops</b>, to provide technical assistance to States throughout the next <b>three years</b> on the human rights dimension of access to medicines and vaccines in the context of the right of everyone to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, [...], and to present to the HRC a <b>compendium of good practices</b> at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b> and an <b>analytical study</b> on key challenges at its <b>56<sup>th</sup> session</b>, with a view to presenting a <b>comprehensive report</b>, including new developments, at its <b>59<sup>th</sup> session</b>, and to prepare these submissions in an accessible and easy-to-read format; [...].</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Brazil <i>22 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Czech Republic* (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>) (<i>joins consensus; wishes to see some elements improved, including on the use of selective quotations from resolutions of other expert fora; the inclusion of non-agreed language and the lack of an adequate human rights-based approach; the resolution should focus on inequalities among individuals not between countries</i>), France, United Kingdom, China, Cuba <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (686,100 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> United States (<i>joins consensus; PP33, OP3, and OP4 does not capture the carefully negotiated language in the WTO Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and the Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health; in OP6c the reference to “internationally agreed principles” is unclear, understands that there is no multilateral access and benefit-sharing instrument which mandates benefit sharing to be directly linked to the utilization of unique, genetic sequence data</i>), Bolivia <a href="#">Adopted without a vote.</a></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (23min00sec)</i></p>

<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.11</a> Freedom of opinion and expression</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Canada,* Brazil, Fiji,* Namibia, Netherlands, Sweden* <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Andorra,* Argentina, Armenia, Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Brazil, Bulgaria,* Canada,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic, Denmark,* Dominican Republic,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Fiji,* Finland, France, Georgia,* Germany, Greece,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Italy,* Latvia,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,* Marshall Islands, Mexico, Monaco,* Montenegro, Namibia, Netherlands, New Zealand,* Norway,* Paraguay, Peru,* Poland, Portugal,* Republic of Moldova,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Tunisia,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, Uruguay,* State of Palestine*</p>	<p>Requests the OHCHR, including in consultation with the UNESCO and other relevant UN bodies, to convene at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session a panel discussion</b>, fully accessible to persons with disabilities, on the role of digital, media and information literacy in the promotion and enjoyment of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, open to the participation of States, members of civil society and business enterprises and relevant private sector organizations, technological intermediaries, including social media platforms, UN experts and other stakeholders, and also requests the OHCHR to prepare a <b>summary report</b> on the panel discussion and present it to the HRC at its <b>54<sup>th</sup> session</b>; Decides to continue its consideration of the issue of the right to freedom of opinion and expression in accordance with its programme of work.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Netherlands (<i>on behalf of the Core Group</i>) <i>14 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Germany, Ukraine, Republic of Korea, United States, India, Paraguay, Lithuania, Namibia <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (123,400 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Pakistan <u>Adopted without a vote.</u></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC44)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (21min48sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.15/Rev.1</a> Elimination of female genital mutilation</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Côte d'Ivoire (<i>on behalf of the Group of African States</i>) <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Andorra,* Côte d'Ivoire (<i>on behalf of the Group of African States</i>),* Dominican Republic,* Iraq,*</p>	<p>Requests the High Commissioner, [...], to prepare a <b>report</b> on human rights challenges and good practices in relation to international and regional cooperation and coordination efforts and the implementation of national and subnational laws, policies, programmes and other initiatives to address cross-border and transnational female genital mutilation, and to submit the report to the HRC at its <b>56<sup>th</sup> session</b>;</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Côte d'Ivoire <i>6 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Mexico (<i>regrets the elimination of important documents</i>) <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (100,400 USD) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> United States (<i>has withdrawn co-sponsorship, regrets changes in the document including regarding the relation of FGMC to gender inequality, sexual, reproductive and</i></p>

	<p>Monaco,* Paraguay, Türkiye* United States<sup>8</sup></p>	<p>Decides to continue its consideration of the question of female genital mutilation in accordance with its programme of work.</p>	<p><i>maternal health, and the critical health services needed by FGMC survivors), United Kingdom (regrets that the resolution does not adequately recognize FGM as a form of GBV and discrimination against women and girls, nor the need for a gender responsive approach to prevent SGBV including FGM; disappointed with the deletion of long standing agreed language representing a step backwards on 30 years of progress), Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU)(deeply concerned about the push-back against long-standing agreed language on gender equality and rights of women and girls; regrets lack of reference to the outcome documents of the Beijing Declaration and Programme of Action of the ICPD; regret deletion of references to gender responsiveness; should more strongly recognize FGM as a form of GBV and discrimination against women and girls and underline importance of access to quality and affordable comprehensive sexual and reproductive health information, education and health care services), France (regrets the absence of the outcome documents from the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and the ICPD; the absence of a reference to a gender responsive approach and the deletion of gender responsive language from the resolution), Luxembourg (regrets that agreed language on health care and sexual rights, gender responsive language, and references to key documents was not included), Netherlands (regrets that the resolution has been weakened)</i></p> <p><b><u>Adopted without a vote.</u></b></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Periodicity:</b> Biennial <b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC44)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (24min24sec)</i></p>
--	--	---	--

<sup>8</sup> Withdrew co-sponsorship



<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.16</a> The promotion and protection of human rights in the <b>context of peaceful protests</b> (as orally revised)</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Switzerland,* Costa Rica* <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Andorra,* Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bulgaria,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic, Denmark,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Finland, Germany, Greece,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,* Marshall Islands, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway,* Paraguay, Peru,* Poland, Portugal,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Tunisia,* Uruguay,* State of Palestine*</p>	<p>Requests the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association, in collaboration with the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and the OHCHR, to <b>engage in global and regional consultations and to develop specific technical and practical tools</b> based on international standards and best practices to assist law enforcement officials in promoting and protecting human rights in the context of peaceful protests, and when preparing the said practical tools to seek the views of States, other relevant entities of the United Nations Secretariat, other relevant UN agencies, funds and programmes, intergovernmental organizations, national human rights institutions, non-governmental organizations and other relevant stakeholders, including practitioners, such as law enforcement practitioners, and to present those technical and practical tools to the HRC at its <b>55<sup>th</sup> session</b>; [...].</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Costa Rica,* Switzerland *</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Oral revisions:</b> added a new preambular paragraph PP6, Recalling also its resolution 43/1 of 19 June 2020 on the promotion and protection of the human rights and fundamental freedoms of Africans and of people of African descent against excessive use of force and other human rights violations by law enforcement officers,; Changes in PP17, PP20, PP22, PP25, PP29, PP31, PP34, PP43, OP1, OP2, OP3, OP6, OP7, OP12, OP16, OP17, OP29, OP30, OP31, OP34.</p> </div> <p><b>Amendment L.60</b> has been withdrawn. <b>View of the co-sponsors on the amendments:</b> Germany: the main sponsors reject the proposed amendments and call for a vote on each of them. <i>17 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments on the draft as orally revised:</b> Lithuania, United Kingdom, United States, Japan (reservations on OP30), Luxembourg <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (1,169,000 USD) <b>Explanations of votes before the vote:</b> China (will not join consensus; content of the resolution is not balanced and does not state that peaceful protests should be carried out in line with the law; the text affects the judicial sovereignty of countries regarding national security and application of the law; the new wording on the transfer and use of surveillance technologies remains disputed), Bolivia <b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Periodicity:</b> Biennial <b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC44)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (58min36sec)</i></p>
<p>Written Amendments to <a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.16</a></p>			

<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.56</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p><i>In the title, as well as in preambular paragraphs 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 42 and operative paragraphs 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 21, 25, 26, 27, 28, 33 replace “protests” and “peaceful protests” with “peaceful assemblies, including peaceful protests”.</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation <b>L.56:</b> <i>Explanation of vote before the vote:</i> Czech Republic. <b>Rejected: 27 against, 5 in favor, 15 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.57</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p><i>Insert a new preambular paragraph: “Recognizing that organizers and leaders of peaceful assemblies, including peaceful protests, should cooperate fully with the authorities to ensure that participants in the assemblies respect the law and the human rights and fundamental freedoms of others”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation <b>L.57:</b> <i>Explanation of vote before the vote:</i> Finland, United States. <b>Rejected: 22 against, 9 in favor, 16 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.58</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p><i>PP42 should read: “Noting that the use of force during peaceful assemblies, including peaceful protests, remains regulated by national legislation, which should be in line with the respective obligations of each State under international human rights law, while recalling that, under the Geneva Conventions of 1949, the parties to armed conflict are bound by the provisions of international humanitarian law, which constitutes the <i>lex specialis</i> in such circumstances”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation <b>L.58:</b> <i>Explanation of vote before the vote:</i> Ukraine. <b>Rejected: 26 against, 4 in favor, 16 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.59</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p><i>Insert a new preambular paragraph: “Urges States to ensure that organizers and leaders of peaceful assemblies, including peaceful protests, are aware of their special duties and responsibilities with regard to the proper conduct of assemblies”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation <b>L.59:</b> <i>Explanation of vote before the vote:</i> Germany, Finland. <b>Rejected: 22 against, 7 in favor, 18 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.61</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p><i>OP34 should read: “Requests the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association, in collaboration with the OHCHR, to engage in consultations, including at the global and regional levels, with States, relevant entities of the United Nations, intergovernmental organizations, national human rights institutions, non-governmental organizations and other relevant stakeholders, including practitioners, such as law enforcement practitioners, and to present his findings in a report on existing international standards and best practices to assist law enforcement officials in promoting and protecting human rights in the context of peaceful assemblies to the Human Rights Council at its 56<sup>th</sup> session”</i></p>		<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation (With a PBI of 1,112,300 USD) <b>L.61:</b> <i>Explanation of vote before the vote:</i> Lithuania, United States. <b>Rejected: 23 against, 7 in favor, 17 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.20</a> The rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association (as orally revised)</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Czech Republic, Indonesia, Lithuania, Maldives, * Mexico, United States <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Andorra,* Argentina, Armenia, Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bulgaria,*Canada,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic, Denmark,* Ecuador,* Estonia,*Finland, Georgia,*</p>	<p>Decides to <b>renew the mandate of Special Rapporteur</b> on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association, as established by the HRC in its resolution 15/21, for a period of <b>three years</b>; [...]; Requests the SR to continue to <b>report annually</b> to the HRC and the GA; Requests the Secretary-General to provide the assistance necessary to the SR to fulfil the mandate, in particular by placing adequate human and material resources at his or her disposal;</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Czech Republic <b>Oral revisions:</b> in OP6, OP7, OP10 25 additional co-sponsors <b>General Comments on the draft as orally revised:</b> Armenia, Lithuania, Ukraine, United States <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (activities considered to be of a perennial nature; no additional resources required) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> China (<i>the draft resolution has shortcomings in terms of NGO financing and lack of objectivity and balance</i>)</p>

	<p>Germany, Greece,* Iceland,* Indonesia, Ireland,* Italy,* Latvia,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Maldives,* Malta,* Mexico, Netherlands, Paraguay, Peru,* Poland, Portugal,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,*Sweden,* Switzerland,* Ukraine, United States, Uruguay*</p>	<p>Decides to continue its consideration of the issue of the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association in accordance with its programme of work.</p>	<p><i>undermining the legal sovereignty of States; disassociates itself from consensus)</i> <b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC41)</p> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (14min24sec)</i></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.22/Rev.1</a> Elimination of all forms of <b>discrimination against women and girls</b> (as orally revised)</p>	<p><u>Submitted by:</u> Mexico, Argentina, Chile* <u>Co-sponsors:</u> Andorra,* Argentina, Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bosnia and Herzegovina,* Bulgaria,* Canada,* Chile,* Costa Rica,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czech Republic, Denmark,* Dominican Republic,* Ecuador,* Estonia,* Fiji,* Finland, France, Georgia,* Germany, Greece,* Honduras, Iceland,* Ireland,* Italy,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,* Mexico, Monaco,* Nepal, Netherland, New Zealand,* North Macedonia,* Norway,* Paraguay, Peru,* Portugal,* Republic of Moldova,* Romania,* San Marino,* Slovenia,* Spain,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Thailand,* Tunisia,* Türkiye,* Ukraine, United States, Uruguay*:</p>	<p>Decides to extend the mandate of the Working Group (WG) on discrimination against women and girls for a period of <b>three years</b>, on the same terms provided for by the Human Rights Council in its resolution 15/23 of 1 October 2010, and requests the WG to continue considering, and mainstreaming across all its work, an age dimension in the fulfilment of its mandate, and to examine the specific forms of discrimination that girls face;</p> <p>Calls upon all States to cooperate with and assist the WG in its task, to supply all necessary available information requested by it and to give serious consideration to responding favourably to its requests to visit their country to enable it to fulfil its mandate effectively, invites relevant UN agencies, funds and programmes, in particular UN-Women, the treaty bodies and other special procedures, within their respective mandates, and civil society actors, as well as the private sector, to cooperate fully with the WG in the fulfilment of its mandate, and requests the WG to continue to engage with the Commission on the Status of Women (CSW), including by participating in its work and formally reporting to it;</p> <p>Requests the Secretary-General to ensure that the reports of the WG are brought to the attention of the CSW and the GA, and requests the WG to present <b>an oral report annually</b> to the Commission and to the Assembly, in proximity with the reports of the SR on violence against women, its causes and consequences and of the CEDAW;</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Mexico</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Oral revisions:</b> including in PP12, PP15, PP20 ; Deleted PP14, OP4b; Removed PP14: “Reaffirming that the full, equal, effective and meaningful participation of all women at all levels of decision-making, as well as that of girls, free from violence and discrimination, is essential to the achievement of gender equality, inclusive economic growth and sustainable development, the rule of law, good governance, peace and democracy”; Added a new preambular paragraph PP21: “Also recognizing the contribution that family members can have in combating discrimination against women and girls, by, inter alia, providing for a protective and supportive environment for the empowerment of girls”; Deleted OP4F: “To include an understanding of multiple and intersecting forms of discrimination in any training on combating gender bias for State officials”</p> </div> <p><b>Amendments L.41, L.42, and L.44</b> have been withdrawn.</p> <p><b>View of the co-sponsors on the amendments:</b> Mexico: the main sponsors reject the proposed amendments and call for a vote on each of them. <i>14 additional co-sponsors</i></p>

		<p>[...]; Invites the High Commissioner to <b>inform</b> the HRC <b>periodically</b> of progress made to implement the recommendations addressed to her office in the report of the Human Rights Council Advisory Committee entitled: “Current levels of representation of women in human rights organs and mechanisms: ensuring gender balance”; Encourages States to consider means to enhance the participation of women in the work of the HRC, taking into consideration existing guidelines and standards as set out in Council resolution 6/30 of 14 December 2007, Council decision 6/102 of 27 September 2007 and President’s statement OS/12/1; Decides to continue its consideration of the issue of the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women and girls as a matter of high priority, in conformity with its programme of work, at its <b>56<sup>th</sup> session</b>.</p>	<p><b>General Comments on the draft as orally revised:</b> United Kingdom, Mauritania, United States, Republic of Korea, Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>), Bolivia <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (activities considered to be of a perennial nature; no additional resources required) <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Senegal (<i>supports consensus; lack of solidarity with paragraphs making reference to controversial concepts such as sexual and reproductive rights, abortion, comprehensive sexuality education, and gender ideology</i>), Pakistan (<i>disassociates from OP4E and OP7</i>), Indonesia, Mauritania (<i>the resolution refers to controversial concepts such as evidence-based comprehensive sexuality education, abortion, sexual and reproductive health rights; over ambiguous concepts such as right to reproductive and sexual health, bodily integrity; joins consensus</i>), United Arab Emirates (<i>on behalf of the Gulf Countries</i>) (<i>the text includes concepts counter to their legislation, culture and religion; dissociates from PP6, PP8, PP10, PP11, OP10, OP4B OP4F, OP7, OP8</i>) <b>Adopted without a vote.</b> <u>The hall applauded the adoption of the resolution.</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1509 1090 2080 1126"> <tr> <td><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC41)</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (01h40min42sec)</i></p>	<b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC41)
<b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC41)				
<p>Written Amendments to <a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.22/Rev.1</a></p>				
<p><b><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.24</a></b> <i>Submitted by:</i> Saudi Arabia</p>	<p><i>In OP7 “For universal access to sexual and reproductive health services and evidence-based information <u>read</u> universal access to health-care services and information”; In OP8 delete “gender-specific and intersectional”, “and to take a human rights-based and gender-responsive approach in their responses to the COVID-19 pandemic”, last three lines “For health-care services, including testing, treatment, vaccines and respectful, inclusive and non-coercive sexual and reproductive</i></p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Saudi Arabia <b><a href="#">L.24:</a></b> <i>Explanation of vote before the vote:</i> Argentina, Luxembourg, Lithuania. <b>Rejected: 24 against, 14 in favor, 7 abstentions.</b></p>		

	health information and services <u>read</u> health-care services, including testing, treatment and vaccines”	
<a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.38</a> Submitted by: Nigeria	In PP6 “ <u>For</u> on the basis of gender <u>read</u> on the basis of sex”; In PP9 “ <u>For</u> multiple, intersecting and systemic forms of discrimination <u>read</u> compounded forms of discrimination”, “ <u>For</u> gender <u>read</u> sex”, “ <u>For</u> bodily integrity <u>read</u> personal integrity”	<b>Introduced by:</b> Nigeria <b>L.38:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico, United States. <b>Rejected: 23 against, 14 in favor, 8 abstentions</b>
<a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.39</a> Submitted by: Nigeria	OP4E should read: “To promote long-term awareness-raising initiatives in education, in communities in the media and online, engaging men and boys, through the incorporation of curricula on all women’s and girls’ rights into teacher training courses, on topics including the root causes of gender-based discrimination and the prevention of sexual and gender-based violence, including domestic violence, and by ensuring universal access to scientifically accurate and age-appropriate education that is relevant to cultural contexts, that provides adolescent girls and boys and young women and men, in and out of school, consistent with their evolving capacities, and with appropriate direction and guidance from parents and legal guardians, with information on sexual and reproductive health and HIV prevention, gender equality and the empowerment of women, human rights, physical, psychological and pubertal development and power in relationships between women and men, to enable them to build self-esteem and foster informed decision-making, communication and risk reduction skills and to develop respectful relationships, in full partnership with young persons, parents, legal guardians, caregivers, educators and health-care providers”	<b>Introduced by:</b> Nigeria <b>L.39:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Argentina, Czech Republic, France. <b>Rejected: 22 against, 16 in favor, 7 abstentions.</b>
<a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.40</a> (as orally revised) Submitted by: Egypt, Bahrain, Saudi Arabia	PP12 should read: “Recognizing that sexual and reproductive <b>health-care</b> information and services include, inter alia, accessible and inclusive family planning, safe and effective methods of modern contraception, emergency contraception, <b>educational programmes for adolescent pregnancy, maternal health-care and services</b> , such as skilled birth assistance and emergency obstetric care, including midwives for maternity services, prenatal and perinatal <b>care, abortion as identified in paragraph 8.25 of the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development, where in no case should abortion be promoted as a method of family planning</b> , post-abortion care, and prevention and treatment of reproductive tract infections, sexually transmitted infections, HIV/AIDS and reproductive cancers”	<b>Introduced by:</b> Egypt <b>L.40</b> (as orally revised): Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico, the Netherland. <b>Rejected: 22 against, 14 in favor, 9 abstentions.</b>
<a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.43</a> Submitted by: Iraq	PP11 should read: “Reaffirming that the full enjoyment of all human rights by all women and girls includes <b>their right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, including but not limited to sexual and reproductive health</b> , free from coercion, discrimination and violence”; OP7 “ <u>For</u> the right to sexual and reproductive health, including for adolescent girls and young women <u>read</u> the right of women and girls to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, including but not limited to sexual and reproductive health”; OP7 delete “the right to bodily autonomy”	<b>Introduced by:</b> Iraq <b>L.43:</b> Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico, Germany. <b>Rejected: 25 against, 13 in favor, 7 abstentions.</b>
<a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.45</a>	PP8 “For women and girl human rights defenders, journalists, trade unions, girl- and youth-led organizations and other relevant actors <u>read</u> journalists, trade unions and other relevant actors”;	<b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation

<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p>OP4G should read: “To develop, support and protect an enabling environment for the full, effective, meaningful and equal participation of civil society, including women’s and girls’ rights organizations <b>and feminist groups in the creation, design, implementation and monitoring of all legislation and policies relevant to achieving substantive gender equality</b>”</p>	<p><a href="#">L.45</a>: Explanation of vote before the vote: Mexico, Germany, Marshall Islands, United Kingdom. <b>Rejected: 25 against, 7 in favor, 11 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.46</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p>PP3 “<u>For</u> the outcome documents of their review conferences <u>read</u> the outcome documents of their review conferences as adopted by the General Assembly”</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation <a href="#">L.46</a>: Explanation of vote before the vote: Montenegro, Argentina. <b>Rejected: 2 against, 10 in favor, 12 abstentions.</b></p>
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.47</a> <i>Submitted by:</i> Russian Federation</p>	<p>PP14 should read: “<b>Reaffirming that the effective and meaningful participation of all women at all levels of decision-making, as well as that of girls under appropriate direction and guidance of parents or legal guardians responsible for them and in a manner consistent with their evolving capacities, free from violence and discrimination, is essential to the achievement of gender equality, inclusive economic growth and sustainable development, the rule of law, good governance, peace and democracy</b>”; PP20 “<u>For</u> restrictions on their autonomy <u>read</u> restrictions on their autonomy that are incompatible with the provisions of the Convention on the Rights of the Child”; PP22 “<u>For</u> the necessity of respecting and protecting the human rights and autonomy of all girls and young women, and of actively promoting and supporting their agency <u>read</u> the necessity of respecting and protecting the dignity and human rights of all girls and young women, and of actively promoting and supporting their agency, taking into account, in the case of girls, the rights and duties of their parents, legal guardians or other individuals legally responsible for them”; PP23 should read: “[...] the empowerment of all women and girls to claim <b>their rights</b>, including to <b>participate</b> in the conduct of public affairs, as well as in, economic, social and cultural life, and to fully, equally and meaningfully participate in the decision-making processes that shape society, <b>in the case of girls under appropriate direction and guidance of parents or legal guardians responsible for them and in a manner consistent with their evolving capacities</b>”; <b>OP4B</b> “<u>For</u> participation, in all fields, of women, and of girls in accordance with their age and maturity <u>read</u> participation, in all fields, of women and, as appropriate, of girls in accordance with their age and maturity”; <b>OP5B</b> “<u>For</u> for girl and young women activists to participate and express their views freely and meaningfully, within which their views are given due consideration <u>read</u> for girl and young women activists to participate and express their views freely and meaningfully, within which the views of girls, under appropriate direction and guidance of parents or legal guardians responsible for them and in a manner consistent with their evolving capacities, are given due weight”; <b>OP5D</b> “<u>For</u> national laws and policies that respect, protect and fulfil the human rights of girls and young women, including their rights to take part in the conduct of public affairs <u>read</u> national laws and policies that respect, protect and fulfil the human rights of girls and young women, including, in the case of girls under appropriate direction and guidance of parents or legal guardians responsible for them and in a manner consistent with their evolving capacities, their rights to take part in the conduct of public affairs”; <b>OP5E</b> should read: “[...]providing them, <b>as appropriate</b>, with comprehensive, free, accessible and child-friendly information and promoting</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Russian Federation <a href="#">L.47</a>: Explanation of vote before the vote: Finland, Argentina. <b>Rejected: 20 against, 12 in favor, 10 abstentions.</b></p>

*their active participation in decision-making processes in private and public life, in the case of girls under the appropriate direction and guidance of parents or legal guardians responsible for them and in a manner consistent with their evolving capacities”*

**Explanations of vote and General comments after the vote (Item 3)**

- **Poland:** on L.2 (SOGI)
- **Nepal:** on L.10/Rev.1 (climate change); L.22/Rev.1 (discrimination against women and girls); L.7 (violence against women and girls); and on L.13/Rev.1 (access to medicines, vaccines and other health products)
- **Finland:** L.22/Rev.1 (discrimination against women and girls)
- **Brazil:** L.22/Rev.1 (discrimination against women and girls)
- **United States:** on the term “right to development” and on L.2 (SOGI)
- **Sudan:** on L.2 (SOGI)
- **Cameroon:** on L.20 (peaceful assembly and of association)
- **Bolivia:** on L.13/Rev.1 (access to medicines, vaccines and other health products); L.7 (violence against women and girls); L.9 (international solidarity); and on L.22/Rev.1 (discrimination against women and girls)

*Link to the meeting on [UN WebTV](#) (19min06sec)*

**Item 4 – Human rights situations that require the Council’s attention**

<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.5/Rev.1</a> Situation of human rights in the <b>Syrian Arab Republic</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> United Kingdom, France, Germany, Italy, * Jordan, * Kuwait, * Netherlands, Qatar, Türkiye, * United States <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania, * Andorra, * Australia, * Austria, * Belgium, * Bulgaria, * Canada, * Croatia, * Czech Republic, Denmark, * Estonia, * Finland, France, Georgia, * Germany, Greece, * Iceland, * Ireland, * Israel, * Italy, * Jordan, * Kuwait, * Latvia, * Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, * Monaco, * Montenegro, Netherlands, New Zealand, * North Macedonia, * Norway, * Qatar, Republic of Korea, Romania, * San Marino, *</p>	<p>Condemns in the strongest of terms all acts of SGBV, committed by all parties since the start of the conflict in 2011; Reaffirms that acts of SGBV, [...], can constitute crimes against humanity, and [...] war crimes; Calls upon all parties to the conflict to immediately cease the perpetration of SGBV against all persons [...]; Urges all parties to immediately respect and protect the full enjoyment by children of all their human rights, [...]; Calls upon all parties to ensure that children affected by the conflict receive appropriate assistance, [...], and encourages the COI to continue its investigation and documentation of violations and abuses of the rights of the child – in particular the impact on the right to education; Strongly condemns the continued use of arbitrary detention, torture and ill-treatment, including through SGBV, involuntary or enforced disappearance and summary executions, [...], by all parties to the conflict, first and</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> United Kingdom (<i>on behalf of a group of states</i>) <i>8 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Cuba (<i>called for a vote</i>), United States, Czech Republic (<i>on behalf of the EU</i>), China (<i>supported the request for a vote</i>), Ukraine, France <b>Country Concerned:</b> Syria* (rejected the draft resolution), raised a Point of Order on the content of some speeches. <b>PBIs:</b> None <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Argentina, Mexico (<i>important to recognize that multiple actors bear responsibility for the human rights violations committed; Will abstain</i>), Venezuela <b>Adopted with 25 in favour:</b></p>
			<p><b>For: 25</b> Argentina, Benin, Côte d’Ivoire, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Gabon, Gambia,</p>

	<p><i>Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Spain,* Sweden,* Switzerland,* Türkiye,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</i></p>	<p>foremost by the Syrian regime, and calls for the immediate cessation of arbitrary detention, as well as torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, and SGBV;</p> <p>Also strongly condemns that, tens of thousands of individuals have been killed in the custody of the Syrian authorities, and that, in detention centres, rape and SGBV have been used, including against children, as a tool to punish, humiliate and instil fear, and demands, [...], the immediate release of all persons arbitrarily or unlawfully detained [...];</p> <p>Demands that the Syrian Arab Republic meet its responsibility to protect its population and to respect and protect the human rights of all persons within its jurisdiction, [...];</p> <p>Condemns the gross systematic abuse of women’s and children’s rights by terrorist groups and armed groups, [...];</p> <p>Expresses grave concern at the disproportionate impact on women and children of the dire humanitarian conditions in all parts of the Syrian Arab Republic and reaffirms the need for full, safe and unhindered humanitarian access, and calls for the renewal of the cross-border mechanism by the Security Council [...];</p> <p>Calls for every effort to be made to ensure justice for those who have suffered as a result of such crimes, [...];</p> <p>Reaffirms the need to end impunity through the prosecution of perpetrators of SGBV crimes under national and international law [...];</p> <p>Welcomes the progress made on accountability efforts, and [...] the judgment in January 2022 of a higher regional court in Germany finding a former director of the Syrian intelligence service guilty of crimes against humanity, including rape and sexual abuse, and notes the importance of this verdict and further ongoing proceedings [...] to bring truth to light and perpetrators to justice [...];</p>	<p>Germany, Honduras, Japan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malawi, Marshall Islands, Mexico, Montenegro, Netherlands, Paraguay, Poland, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</p> <p><b>Against: 6</b> Armenia, Bolivia, China, Cuba, Eritrea, Venezuela</p> <p><b>Abstained: 15</b> Brazil, Cameroon, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Malaysia, Mauritania, Namibia, Nepal, Pakistan, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan, United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan</p> <p><b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">23-7-16</a> (HRC49)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (29min54sec)</i></p>
--	---	---	---



		<p>Recognizes the need for a coordinated and effective survivor-centred approach in preventing and responding to such violence and abuse [...];</p> <p>Calls upon all parties to the conflict to adopt a more robust response to SGBV across the whole of the Syrian Arab Republic, [...];</p> <p>Strongly supports the efforts of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for Syria to make progress in the political process and to advance further aspects of Security Council resolution 2254 [...];</p> <p>Calls for the lifting of constraints faced by women [...] in their access to economic resources and opportunities, [...];</p> <p>Requests that the COI consider updating its report on SGBV, taking a victim- and survivor-centred approach, [...];</p> <p>Decides to remain seized of the matter.</p>	
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.18</a> Situation of human rights in <b>Belarus</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> France (on behalf of the European Union)</p> <p><i>Co-sponsors:</i> Albania,* Andorra,* Australia,* Austria,* Belgium,* Bulgaria,* Canada,* Croatia,* Cyprus,* Czechia, Denmark,* Estonia,* Finland, France, Germany, Greece,* Hungary,* Iceland,* Ireland,* Italy,* Latvia,* Liechtenstein,* Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta,* Monaco,* Montenegro, Netherlands, New Zealand,* North Macedonia,* Norway,* Poland, Portugal,* Romania,* Slovakia,* Slovenia,* Spain,* Sweden,* Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States</p>	<p>Decides to <b>extend the mandate of the Special Rapporteur</b> on the situation of human rights in Belarus for a period of <b>one year</b>, and requests the Special Rapporteur to continue to monitor developments and to make recommendations, and to submit <b>a report</b> on the situation of human rights in Belarus to the HRC at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b> and to the GA at its <b>78<sup>th</sup> session</b>; [...].</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Czech Republic* (on behalf of the EU)</p> <p><i>4 additional co-sponsors</i></p> <p><b>General Comments:</b> Ukraine, Republic of Korea, United States, Montenegro, China (<i>against interference and mandates without country's consent, called for a vote</i>), Japan (<i>disassociates from OP17</i>), United Kingdom (<i>OP3 imprecise</i>)</p> <p><b>Country Concerned:</b> Belarus* (rejects the draft resolution)</p> <p><b>PBIs:</b> Yes (activities considered to be of a perennial nature; no additional resources required)</p> <p><b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> Venezuela, Cuba</p> <p><b>Adopted with 23 votes in favor:</b></p> <p><b>For: 23</b> Argentina, Benin, Brazil, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Gambia, Germany, Honduras, Japan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malawi, Marshall Islands, Mexico, Montenegro, Netherlands,</p>

			<p>Paraguay, Poland, Republic of Korea, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States  <b>Against: 6</b> Bolivia, China, Cuba, Eritrea, Kazakhstan, Venezuela  <b>Abstained: 18</b> Armenia, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Gabon, India, Indonesia, Libya, Malaysia, Mauritania, Namibia, Nepal, Pakistan, Qatar, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan, United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan</p> <p><b>Voting history:</b> <a href="#">21-7-19</a> (HRC47)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (31min00sec)</i></p>
<p align="center"><b>Explanations of vote and General comments after the vote (Item 4)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Brazil:</b> on L.18 (Belarus)</li> <li>- <b>Bolivia:</b> do not support imposition of specific mandates without the support of concerned countries</li> </ul> <p align="right"><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (03min54sec)</i></p>			

<p><b>Item 5 – Human rights bodies and mechanisms</b></p>			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.8</a> The Social Forum</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Cuba  <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Algeria, * Belarus, * Cuba, Ecuador, * Egypt, * Mexico, Namibia, Nicaragua, * Paraguay, Peru, * Portugal, * Venezuela</p>	<p>Decides that the Social Forum will meet for <b>two working days</b> in 2023, in Geneva, [...], and also decides that, at its next meeting, the Forum should focus on the <b>contribution of science, technology and innovation to the promotion of human rights</b>, including in the context of post-pandemic recovery;</p> <p>Requests the President of the HRC to <b>appoint [...], the Chair-Rapporteur for the 2023 Social Forum [...]</b>;</p> <p>Requests the OHCHR to <b>make available</b> the most recent and relevant <b>UN reports and documents [...]</b>, as background documents for the dialogues and debates that will be held at the 2023 Social Forum;</p> <p>Requests the HC to <b>facilitate the participation</b> in the 2023 Social Forum [...] of no fewer than <b>10 experts [...]</b>;</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Cuba  <i>17 additional co-sponsors</i>  <b>General Comments:</b> None  <b>PBIs:</b> Reduced requirements of 22,900 USD  <b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> None  <u>Adopted without a vote.</u></p> <p><b>Periodicity:</b> Annual  <b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC47)</p> <p><i>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (04min18sec)</i></p>

		<p>Decides that the Social Forum will remain open to the participation of representatives of States Members of the UN and all other interested stakeholders, [...];</p> <p>Requests the OHCHR to seek effective means for ensuring consultation and the broadest possible participation of representatives from every region, [...];</p> <p>Requests the Secretary-General to take appropriate measures to <b>disseminate information</b> about the Social Forum, [...];</p> <p>Invites the 2023 Social Forum to submit <b>a report</b> containing its conclusions and recommendations to the HRC at its <b>55<sup>th</sup> session</b>; [...];</p> <p>Decides to continue consideration of this issue at its <b>53<sup>rd</sup> session</b> under the same agenda item.</p>	
--	--	--	--

**Explanations of vote and General comments after the vote (Item 5)**

- **United States:** remain concerned by the substantial budgetary implications of L.8

Link to the meeting on [UN WebTV](#) (01min24sec)

Item 6 – Universal Periodic Review			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.17</a> <b>Commencement of the fourth cycle</b> of the Universal Periodic Review</p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> <i>President of the Human Rights Council</i></p>	<p>At its XXth meeting, on XX July 2022, the Human Rights Council decided that the fourth cycle of the universal periodic review shall commence in November 2022.</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> President of the Human Rights Council <b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p>
			<p>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (03min06sec)</p>

Item 10 – Technical assistance and capacity-building			
<p><a href="#">A/HRC/50/L.23</a> Technical assistance and capacity-building to improve human rights in <b>Libya</b></p>	<p><i>Submitted by:</i> Côte d'Ivoire (on behalf of the Group of African States) <i>Co-sponsors:</i> Côte d'Ivoire (on behalf of the Group of African States), Croatia,* Estonia,* Finland, Germany, Iceland,* Malta,* Netherlands, Norway,*</p>	<p>Decides to <b>extend the mandate of the fact-finding mission (FFM)</b> on Libya for a final, non-extendable period of <b>nine months</b>, to present its concluding recommendations;</p> <p>Requests the FFM to present, to the HRC at its <b>52<sup>nd</sup> session</b>, its <b>final report</b> on the situation of human rights in Libya, during an <b>interactive dialogue</b>, with a particular focus on its concrete and concluding recommendations for the Libyan authorities in the priority areas of:</p>	<p><b>Introduced by:</b> Côte d'Ivoire (on behalf of the African Group) <i>35 additional co-sponsors</i> <b>General Comments:</b> Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU), France, Germany, Netherlands <b>Country Concerned:</b> Libya (supports the draft resolution) <b>PBIs:</b> Yes (3,141,600 USD)</p>

	<p><i>Qatar, Türkiye,* United Kingdom, United States, Yemen*</i></p>	<p>(a) Achieving transitional justice and national reconciliation; (b) Strengthening national human rights institutions and the national action plan for human rights to follow-up on the investigation of the fact-finding mission and recommendations made by the treaty bodies and in the context of the universal periodic review; (c) Strengthening the rule of law, including supporting judicial processes and law enforcement; Renews its request to the OHCHR to provide more comprehensive and sustainable technical assistance and capacity-building to empower the Libyan authorities to follow up on the recommendations made by the FFM to protect and promote human rights, to prevent human rights violations and abuses and to ensure accountability, in accordance with the State's priorities; Requests the Libyan authorities to continue to cooperate and engage with the FFM, including by granting it access without delay; Requests the Secretary-General to provide the OHCHR with the resources necessary for the full implementation of the present resolution; Decides to remain seized of the matter.</p>	<p><b>Explanation of vote before the vote:</b> None <b>Adopted without a vote.</b></p> <p><b>Voting history:</b> Consensus (HRC48)</p> <p>Link to the meeting on <a href="#">UN WebTV</a> (16min30sec)</p>
--	--	--	--

#### General Comments by Observers on the Decisions Adopted during the Session

- **Jordan** (on behalf of the Group of Arab States): regrets the conduct of certain individuals and methods adopted to give a symbolic legitimacy to support the topic of SOGI in disregard of practices and customs
- **Nigeria**: on the creation of new mandates and inclusion of controversial concepts and language in the UN system; on the rights of women and girls and cultural values not being homogenous; on L.2 (SOGI) and same-sex marriage
- **Israel**: on L.2 (SOGI); regarding L.3, L.4, L.7, L.22/Rev.1, and L.15/Rev.1 expresses concerns on the attempts to push back language recognizing rights of women and girls
- **Iraq**: on L.22/Rev.1 expressing reservations on terminology in PP10, OP4, OP7
- **Russian Federation**: on L.10 and L.16, L.2, L.6, L.7, L.11, L.22/Rev.1, L.20 distancing from consensus; do not support L.5, L.18, L.19, distances from consensus on L.21
- **Switzerland**: on L.16, L.62, L.2, L.18, L.5/Rev.1, L.14/Rev.1, L.23
- **Egypt**: L.15/Rev.1; L.13/Rev.1; L.1; L.2 (will not support or cooperate with the mandate holder); L.22/Rev.1 (disassociates from PP3, PP6PP8, PP9, PP11, PP12, OP4E, OP4G, OP7, OP8); L.7 (disassociates from PP2); L.4 (disassociates from OP10, OP16E, OP16F, OP18A); disassociates from the concepts of gender responsive and multiple and intersecting forms of discrimination
- **Hungary**: on L.1, L.22/Rev.1
- **Norway** (on behalf of a cross-regional group of countries): on gender equality, L.22/Rev.1, regrets the weakening of some rights

- **Thailand (on behalf of the core group on enhancement of technical cooperation and capacity building):** recommendations on Agenda item 10 on the delivery of technical cooperation and capacity building
- **Czech Republic (on behalf of the EU):** deep concern over the push back on women's and girls' rights and gender equality, including on long standing agreed language
- **Marshall Islands:** on L.10/Rev.1, L.2, L.7, L.22/Rev.1, on nuclear legacy
- **International Service for Human Rights (joint statement):** welcome the resolution on L.22/Rev.1 regretting the amendments as a trend of hostile rhetoric on issues of gender autonomy, women's and girls' participation, deeply concerned by the coordinated and targeted attacks on the rights of women, girls, LGBTIQ+ people and marginalized communities which aim to undermine sexual and reproductive rights and the right to bodily autonomy; concerned by recurrent attacks on children's rights, specifically questioning their right to participate and express their views freely, and their rights as human rights defenders; welcomes L.2, L.20, L.62, L.18, L.19, L.23, L.14/Rev.1, L.6, L.16, L.3; regrets the Council failed to respond to situations in Cameroon, China, Egypt and Russia.

Link to the meeting on [UN WebTV](#) (49min18sec)