INTERNATIONAL WEBINARS AND LIVE EVENTS

CONTACT TRACING AND CHALLENGES TO PRIVACY

RightOn - 06 May 2020

Moderated by Ms Tereza Horejsova - Project Development Director at DiploFoundation

Mr Paul-Olivier Dehaye - Founder of PersonalData.IO:

The apps trace contacts but also trace where people are and go. It is based on proximity between people. It is based on Bluetooth to assess the contacts. If you are infected, you are given a digital code that signals to other apps that you are infected and that you expose others in contact with you to the virus. Compared to manual contact tracing it is much more efficient and quicker, but also much more dangerous.

Where do the data go and **who gets to control it?** It is much broader than just **privacy**. It is also about **freedom of movement**, discrimination, expression. There are 2 types of data storage: 1. **Centralized**: Keep the data in a central database. 2. **Decentralized**: Keep the data on the phone.

Ms Allie Funk - Research Analyst for Technology and Democracy at Freedom House:

Often, apps are coupled with the **collection of other data** about us. It goes beyond to contact tracing. A high number of **NGOs** are working on tracing apps and the protection of human rights. There many programmes analysing tracing aps from the human **rights aspect**.

Governments and private sector should first ensure that these apps are **scientifically useful** to fight the virus. The data collection must be the **least intrusive possible**. It should also be **decentralized**, which means data should be kept on the smartphone. Tracing systems can be **misused and abused**.

Ms Nanjira Sambuli - Researcher, policy analyst, and advocacy strategist:

African context: As of now, only few countries have been using tracing apps.

How longer are supposed to have these apps on our phones? It is not clear yet. There is very **limited information** about the functioning of the apps. There are **no clear definition and transparency**. No communication has been made to the public.

Question is: the **previous tracing measures** taken during previous outbreaks were efficient or not? It is important to assess the **lessons learned** of previous use of tracing apps.

Mr Joe Cannataci - UN Special Rapporteur on Privacy:

COVID19 data is **medical data**. It has long been recognized has some of the **most sensible data**. It is a priority since the beginning of my mandate in 2016. We have been producing detailed guidelines on the protection of medical data. Basic data protection is a **priority for human rights**. In addition to general data protection laws, we need detailed laws on surveillance.

Necessary means "must have" not "nice to have". The **necessary aspect of the COVID19 tracing apps** have not been confirmed yet. We do not know enough about the virus and how to cure it, as much as we do not know about the **privacy implication** when using apps.

Ms Jade Nester - Director, Consumer Policy, at the GSMA:

Important **role of mobile operators** in data collection during the COVID19 crisis. Mobile data can be useful to trace persons that do not have smartphone. You can get a **broader picture** of mobility.

Cases studies involve developing maps to **show movements with data**. We have an example with Norway teaming with Telenor in January on data movements to try to anticipate the spread of the disease. New means of tracing are constantly being developed. **It is a moving field**.